



Research-based analysis of European → youth programmes

RAY NPC

NA/SALTO staff meeting on cooperation between PCs and NPCs **Overview**



About RAY NPC

This research project aims to explore key aspects of collaboration with neighbouring partner countries in the context of the European youth programmes. RAY NPC Explores:

- Effects of projects funded through the European youth programmes on the actors involved
- Profiles of project participants project teams, organisations, networks and groups involved
- <u>Needs of project teams and their organisations, networks and groups in relation to working</u> with in projects with neighbouring partner countries specifically
- Differences, if any, between projects involving organisations, networks and groups in EU Member States and third countries associated to the programmes, on the one hand, and neighbouring partner countries, on the other hand.

< < < <</pre>

Survey dissemination

- Survey intended for organisational contact persons and project teams of projects involving NPCs
- Invitations:
 - RAY Network invited beneficiaries directly*
 - Contacted the EACEA for them to invite respondents from Capacity Building for Youth
 - Survey was published on RAY website and all RAY partners disseminated it

* from Mobilities, Youth Participation Projects, Cooperation projects (KA2) and Volunteering projects



Survey responses

- We gathered responses November 15th to February 6th
- People clicked on the link about 550 times, of which we obtained over 200 responses with valid input

PROFILES OF RESPONDENTS

Who answered our survey?



- 50% exp. with one or two NPC projects
- 70% exp. with four or less NPC projects
- 10% **no** exp. with project *hosted* in NPC
- 30% exp. with **one** project hosted in NPC



- 70% from program country
- 20% from neibouring east
- 75% exp.in Youth Exchange
- 47% exp. in Mobility of Youth Workers
- 30% exp. in Youth Participation Projects
- 25% exp. in International Volunteering

What was their role in the last project?



- 45% Implementational role
- 45% implementational/strategic role
- 76% consider their last experience typical



- 30% only sending
- 20% only hosting
- 20% only coordinating
- 10% hosting and coordinating

Organisations joined their last project to bring young people together and network

What was the motivation to become involved in the project?

My organisation was interested in the topic of the project To relations between young people in the countries involved To establish new networks To make use of the networks already established To become more attractive to young people My organisation was interested in the profiles of the other partners No particular reason



EFFECTS OF PROJECTS

Respondents were satisfied with their last project

 $\begin{array}{c} \star \star \star \star \star \star \\ \star \star \star \star \\ \star \star \star \\ \star \star \star \\ \bullet$

Projects with NPC are a successful networking experience for organisations



Say their organisation extended their networks meaninfully towards each other as a result of participating in their last project

d

Projects with NPC foster mutual understanding among participants

learned more about young people's lives and challenges in programme countries										
learned more about young people's lives and challenges in neighbouring partner countries										
developed my understanding of the neighbouring partner countries that were involved in the project										
developed my values related to social and human rights	S									
developed my understanding of methods for participation and active citizenship										
developed my understanding of Europe and the EU										
	0%	10%	20 %	6 30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%

NATURE OF PARTNERSHIPS

92% Agree

... that the constellation of PC and NPC brought an added value Nature of partnerships

In what ways?

"[participants] learnt about the difficult situations some youth in the Middle east live in and become more sensitive and emphatic, as well as more tolerant and eager to learn more about the way of life in other regions"

"It helps not to stay in the same European bubble"

"We believe that the impact of these projects on those countries is really important and offer new opportunities to the local youth (from NPC respondent)"

> "They have different problems they face and can bring it on the table and benefit others by their experience"

It adds valuable diversity to the projects

How much did the involvement of NPCs influence...

the impact
the outcome
the methodology
the content

... of the project?



In what ways?

"The local culture, social conditions, educational methods, perspective on education influenced the partnership and the project."

"The contents responded to the need of the participants"

"The impact was significant but mostly negative."

"Participants from partner countries are always more curious and excited to participate"

by bringing **excitement**, steering the **content**, providing **new working contexts** and making things a bit more **difficult**



73%

... that both PCs and NPCs benefitted equally from their last project

After this project, in my organisation, we...



NEEDS OF ORGANISATIONS

Over time, the development of projects involving Programme and neighbouring partner countries ...



Needs of organisations

Neighbouring Partner Countries

Programme

Countries



Explanations as to why...

It has gotten easier.

"The more you learn, the easier it will get. But also it become more easier with the reporting and so on in BM. But it still have some technical issues that must be solved."

"The experienced in collaborating and organising similar projects helped to facilitate the implementation and organisation of projects."

"I gained more experience and take this knowledge into account."

Explanations as to why...

It has gotten more difficult.

"It's known that the programmes closed almost all the doors for partners countries when it comes to applications and coordination. We are put into the inferior position since we need to have reliable partners . . . Capacity building in the field of youth is open for everyone, so the competition is extreme . . . "

> "Last years less priority has been given to projects involving neighbouring countries by some of the national agencies and 25% rule (ratio EU/non-EU) has been implemented more strictly unfortunately."



of respondents experienced some difficulties during the implementation of their last project



Support needed in the future

Support in the form of additional project funding Support with obtaining visas Support with application writing Support with finding partners Support with project design and development Support with other project administration and logistics Support with reporting and dissemination Support with finding young participants I don't see a need for additional support





Main findings

Involving NPCs in a project makes a difference

• In terms of the outcome, the methodology, the content and the impact

Projects successfully bring actors involved closer together

- NPC partners bring in an invaluable diversity at personal and organisational level
- NPC partners bring in excitement, provide new working contexts and can steer the topic of the project
- Projects are valuable networking opportunities and this motivates organisations to join

 Overall partners are satisfied with each other and with the benefits of partaking in the projects, even when partnership dynamics become a bit difficult.

Most partners experience bureaucratic and/or financial difficulties.

• Partners would like support with obtaining visas as well as writing applications. They would also like additional project funding.





Research-based analysis of European → youth programmes

